

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Г	APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
_	10/813,292	(03/30/2004	Mark DiSilvestro	4534	
	28078	7590	12/13/2005		EXAMINER	
	MAGINOT, MOORE & BECK				NH V	
	BANK ONE CENTER/TOWER				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1111 MONU	MENT C	IRCLE		71117 07117	
	INDIANAPO	LIS, IN	46204		2636	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<i>X</i>		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1		
		10/813,292	DISILVESTRO ET A	L.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anh V. La	2636			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	'ess		
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DON'S IN THE MAILING DON'S IN THE MAILING DON'S IN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDON!	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)□ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		nerits is		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR	• •		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 3/30/04, 6/24/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	52)		
		5, <u> </u>				

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 and 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carrender in view of Glen.

Regarding claim 1, Carrender discloses a medical implant component 12 comprising a component body 12, and an RFID tag 30 storing information related to the component, the RFID tag associated with the component body so that the stored information can be accessed by an independent reader 30 (paragraphs 21, 30, 32, 31, 33). Carrender does not disclose the medical implant component being an orthopedic component. Glen teaches the use of a medical implant component being an orthopedic component (figures 3-5). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include the medical implant component being an orthopedic component to the component of Carrender as taught by Glen for the purpose of effectively monitoring the orthopedic component.

Regarding claim 2, Carrender discloses the RFID tag being embedded within the component body (fig. 3A, p. 8).

Regarding claims 3-7, Carrender as modified by Glen discloses all the claimed subject matters as set forth above in the rejection of claim 2, but does not disclose the RFID tag being molded within a molded body of the component body (claim 3), a cavity

Art Unit: 2636

and a cover (claim 4), biocompatible potting material (claim 5), a bone cement (claim 6), biocompatible metal (claim 7). Glen teaches an the RFID tag being molded within a molded body of the component body (fig. 3-4), a cavity and a cover (fig. 3-4), biocompatible potting material (abstract, fig. 3-4), a bone cement (column 3, lines 50-65), biocompatible metal (col. 3, lines 50-65). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include the RFID tag being molded within a molded body of the component body, a cavity and a cover, biocompatible potting material, a bone cement, biocompatible metal to the component of Carrender as taught by Glen for the purpose of effectively monitoring the orthopedic component.

Regarding claim 8, Carrender discloses a transmission receiver, an information storage element, and a control circuit (p. 21, 30,31-33).

Regarding claim 9, Carrender discloses a passive power supply (p. 26).

Regarding claim 10, Carrender discloses the storage element having read/write capabilities (p. 33).

Regarding claims 12-16, Carrender as modified by Glen discloses all the claimed subject matters as set forth above in the rejection of claim 1, but does not disclose a housing having a cavity and an engagement feature (claim 12), a recess (claim 13), a press-fit engagement (claim 14), a taper-fit engagement (claim 15), a slip-fit engagement (claim 16). Glen teaches a housing having a cavity and an engagement feature, a recess, a press-fit engagement, a taper-fit engagement, a slip-fit engagement (figures 3-4). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a

Art Unit: 2636

person having ordinary skill in the art to include a housing having a cavity and an engagement feature, a recess, a press-fit engagement, a taper-fit engagement, a slip-fit engagement to the component of Carrender as taught by Glen for the purpose of effectively monitoring the orthopedic component.

Regarding claim 17, Carrender discloses a method for associating information related to a medical implant component with the component comprising the steps of storing information related to the component in an information storage device 36, engaging the storage device to the component, and remotely accessing the information stored in the information storage device (p. 21, 30-32). Carrender does not disclose the medical implant component being an orthopedic component. Glen teaches the use of a medical implant component being an orthopedic component (figures 3-5). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include the medical implant component being an orthopedic component to the component of Carrender as taught by Glen for the purpose of effectively monitoring the orthopedic component.

Regarding claim 18, Carrender discloses remotely accessing occurring before the orthopedic component is implanted in a patient (p. 21, 30-32).

Regarding claim 19, Carrender discloses remotely accessing occurring after the orthopedic component is implanted in a patient (p. 21, 30-32).

Regarding claim 20, Carrender discloses product identification, part number, manufacturer, manufacture date and inspection information (p. 30).

Art Unit: 2636

Regarding claim 21, Carrender discloses the stored information being stored in the storage device by the caregiver implanting the orthopedic component (p. 30).

Regarding claim 22, Carrender discloses patient identification, medical history, date of implant surgery, care giver information (p. 32).

3. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carrender in view of Glen as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Holtzman.

Regarding claim 11, Carrender as modified by Glen discloses all the claimed subject matters as set forth above in the rejection of claim 10, but does not disclose an EEPROM. Holtzman discloses the use of an EEPROM (col. 3, lines 25-30). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include EEPROM to the component of Carrender as taught by Glen for the purpose of effectively storing information.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Adams and Mejia discloses wireless implanted medical devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh V. La whose telephone number is (571) 272-2970. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:30am to 6:00pm.

Art Unit: 2636

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffery Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANH V. LA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Anh V La Primary Examiner Art Unit 2636

Al November 21, 2005